

## Gabe Cherian

201 Bluebell Road  
P O Box 1335  
Sun Valley, ID 83353 USA

Phone 1-208-622-7020  
Fax 1-208-622-4377  
Email gabe.cherian@cox-internet.com

### FAX COVER MEMO

Total sheets including this sheet 2

Date: May 18, 2004

Fax to:  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
**FAX: 703-872-9306**

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 18 2004

References:  
Application No. 10/075,060  
Filing Date: 02/11/2002  
Invention Title: INTERCONNECTIONS  
Applicant/Inventor: Gabe Cherian  
Examiner: Calvin Lee  
Art Unit: 2825

OFFICIAL

Reference: Your Office Action, mailed on 04/21/2004

Subject: Invention Election to Prosecute

Please find attached my "Invention Election to Prosecute" Letter, dated today.

Thank you very much and best regards.

Gabe Cherian

# Gabe Cherian

201 Bluebell Road  
P O Box 1335  
Sun Valley, ID 83353 USA

Phone 1-208-622-7020  
Fax 1-208-622-4377  
Email gabe.cherian@cox-internet.com

May 18, 2004

**FAXED ON May 18, 2004**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 18 2004

**References:**

Application No. 10/075,060

Filing Date: 02/11/2002

Invention Title: INTERCONNECTIONS

Applicant/Inventor: Gabe Cherian

Examiner: Calvin Lee

Art Unit: 2825

**OFFICIAL**

**Reference: Your Office Action, mailed on 04/21/2004**

**Subject: Invention Election to Prosecute**

In response to the referenced office action of 04/21/2004, I would like to respectfully elect, without traverse, claims of Invention Group D, for prosecution in this application.

Your office action defines this Group D to include Claims 9-12, drawn to "A method for making electrical interconnection with connecting elements, classified in class 438, subclass 123.

However, in my phone conversation with Examiner Calvin Lee on Monday, May 10, 2004, Mr. Lee indicated that this Group D should include Claims 9-13. This is what I would like to elect in this communication.

I reserve the right to file DIVISIONAL or CONTINUATION applications re the other inventions. I suppose that I can address the question related to Claim 8, not being connected to the scope of the invention, at the time I file the Divisional or Continuation applications re this Claim 8. At that time, I would amend the scope to include inventions as in Claim 8. Please let me know if this approach is not acceptable, in which case, I will surely try to do whatever is the right thing to do. Thank you very much.

Respectfully,

Gabe Cherian.